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## Which Plautdietsh?

The language, which I am trying to describe in this essay and for which I would like to develop a linguistically accurate description of phonemes, is my first language. It is the language which my mother spoke to me when I was born. It is the first language in which I learned to express myself. This is the language that I am using as the basis for establishing a phonemic alphabet for Plautdietsh. I am aware that some readers will find my version (idiolect) of that language to be strange, at least in some places.

There are differences between my way of speaking Plautdietsh and the way my wife speaks it. I have noted all the differences between our two personal ways of speaking as precisely as I could. I have also asked other people to give me examples of their speech and have noted the differences between their speech and my own. However, I have reached the conclusion that when one analyzes the whole language my patterns also holds for the whole, except possibly for some of the minor dialect differences.

Herman Rempel, in his book Kjenn jie noch Plautdietsch?, asserts that he has tried to follow the High German writing pattern. The writing system which I am developing begins with Rempel's foundational work and follows it wherever possible. I, too, have tried to take High German into account, realize that for many of the Mennonites for whom Plautdietsh is now fast becoming their only language. High German no longer plays the significant role it used to. Since so many of the Mennonites are now resident in Spanish-speaking countries, I feel it
is important that one also pay attention to the model which that language provides. In North America, of course, English is the language model that exerts great influence on the small number of Plautdietsh speakers that still uses this vernacular. To make this description of phonemes accessible to all three environments where the language is spoken, I will render the meaning of Plautdietsh words in all three languages.

Because of the diverse environments where the language is now spoken, the orthography which I am proposing will follow the English pattern in some cases and the Spanish pattern in others; e.g.., both English and Spanish make a distinction between the letters $[\mathrm{s}]$ and $[\mathrm{z}]$ and they use two different symbols to signify these letters in writing. High German also makes that same distinction in pronunciation, but it uses only the [s] as a letter to transcribe the two phonemic sounds. The [z] that High German does recognize has a completely different sound, namely, [ts]. Thus, for a Plautdietsh speaker who speaks only that language is the only language, a writing system which distinguishes $[\mathrm{s}]$ and $[\mathrm{z}]$ would be of great help.

On the other hand, Plautdietsh is like Spanish which in pronunciation it distinguishes three types of [ $n$ ] sounds. The three are: $[n],[\tilde{n}]$ and [ $\eta$ ]. Since Plautdietsh makes these same distinctions phonemic, I propose three separate kinds of [ $n$ ] in that language. More about this later.

In this analysis of Plautdietsh, where words from other languages have been used as examples or have been used to express the meaning of Plautdietsh words, they have been put between double symbols. Every language has been given a separate set of symbols. Here is the model that we are following:

> English: between two left-leaning slant lines II;
> High German: between two upright standing lines ;
> Spanish: between two right-leaning slant lines //;
> Plautdietsh: between the $\}$ brackets;
> International Phonetic Alphabet: between straight lines with the tops curved inward $(1$.

The meanings of foreign words in Plautdietsh are indicated by means of single quotation marks. Occasionally it has been necessary to use the International Phonetic Alphabet (hereafter abbreviated IPA); this is especially true of letters that are not written in English, German or Spanish but which are phonemic in Plautdietsh. An example would be letters $(\epsilon, \mathbf{i}, \mathrm{v})$ which are phonemic in Plautdietsh, however, in the practical orthography we are suggesting they are written as just $\{$ e,i,u\} but are then always followed by double consonants to indicate that they are short and open vowels.

## Establishing a Phonemic Alphabet for a Language?

When a linguist wants to establish the validity of a given sound as a phoneme in a language, he tries to find two words with different meanings, but in which the sound in question being the only difference between them. Such a word pair is called a "minimal word pair". In the Plautdietsh this situation could be illustrated
by the words: \{Hak\} Hoel'Goadêjreetshoft' and \{Hakj\} \hedgel 'Tŭn fonn Besha,' which are distinguished as being separate words on the basis of the $\{\mathrm{k} \sim \mathrm{kj}\}$ distinction. Thus the minimal difference between these two words establishes $\{\mathrm{k}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{kj}\}$ as two separate phonemes in the language. Occasionally, however one does not find two words that are minimally different only in the case of one sound. In such cases one has to use a weaker kind of proof which is called "a pair in an analogous position". In this case there are at least two sound differences between the words, but the linguist believes that the second difference does not condition the first one. An example of that in Plautdietsh would be: \{lag?\} \did you lie?' 'lag jie?' and \{Agj\} \selvage edge of cloth\'onnjêshnádne Tseichkaunt,' These two words are thus distinguished first by the use of $\{\mathrm{g}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{gi}\}$, but in one word there also is an (f) at the beginning of the word. Now linguists do not believe that in this case the presence or the absence of the (l) determines the distinction between $\{\mathrm{g}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{gi}\}$. Therefore this analogous pair proves that $\{\mathrm{g}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{gj}\}$ are distinct phonemes in Plautdietsh.

With these two kinds of proof linguists claim they can distinguish the phonemes of any language. Of course, a language often has many more sounds than those which are considered phonemic. Such additional sounds usually are allophones of other phonemes; in other words, certain sounds have different variants under different conditions. But even though certain sounds are allophones under certain conditions, if there are other conditions under which they make the difference between the meaning of words, they must be considered phonemes. An example in Plautdietsh would be $\{\mathrm{ch} \sim \mathrm{chj}\}$ distinction. Following [ o$]$ and [oo] one always finds (ch). But following [i] and [e] one always finds (chjj. However, following an [a] these two sounds make a distinction between the meaning of words; e.g. \{acht\} \eight 'the number 8' and \{achjtt \genuinel. I will now try and establish the phonemes of Plautdietsh using these two types of proof.

Of course, once one has established all the phonemes of the language, one still has to decide how one is going to write them in a practical orthography. Sometimes for political reasons it is necessary to write something that is not quite phonemic, like writing one phoneme with more than one symbol, or writing a single symbol for two separate phonemes. But, as a rule of thumb, the closer one remains to the phonemes of that language, the easier the language is to read and to write for the native speaker

## Help From Other Writers and Students of Plautdietsh

I have already mentioned my indebtedness to Herman Rempel (1984). Besides that work there have been numerous theses and dissertations on Plautdietsh (See endnote \#2). Some of them have been very helpful in my effort to develop a phonemic alphabet for that language. On the whole, however, most of these works were written with highly specialized applications not useful to our task of developing a practical phonemic alphabet for Plautdietsh. Two trends can be identified in the orthographies used so far. The first is to treat past writing attempts as sacred and to try to follow what older writers like Arnold Dyck did. An example of this would be the use of [tj] in writing the first person singular pronoun $\backslash \backslash$ as \{etj\} On the other hand, Ruben Epp $(1993,1996)$ tries to harmonize Mennonite Plautdietsh with all the other so-called Niederdeutsch 'Low German' speech forms
in present day Holland, Germany and Poland. Such an effort, of course, makes a simple orthography for any one of these speech forms, or in this case, Plautdietsh, more or less impossible. There is help to be had, however, in some of the studies.

Jacob (Walter) Quiring (1928) (my maternal uncle), probably wrote the earliest known dissertation on the subject. His purposes-to line up with the massive German dialect study of his time based on the 40 Wenker sentences and to trace the sounds shifts that Plautdietsh has undergone since its separation from the Germanic mainstream-are both extraneous to our purpose. However, his sound groupings often give us excellent phonemic clues. Probably his most important contribution for our purposes, however, is the recognition of the "shwa", usually written as ( $\theta$ ) in IPA. He has recognized it both when it occurrs alone as an independent vowel, as well as when it occurrs as the second member of a vowel cluster.
J. W. Goerzen (1952, published 1970) in his dissertation has made an admirable effort to transcribe Plautdietsh accurately phonetically, but, while recognizing the phonemic principle (Pike 1949), on the basis of which phonemic alphabets are developed, he seemingly does not give it full credence. As a result his transcription remains phonetic in many places. This means that his writing system is plagued by phonetic details that often obscure, rather than elucidate, a practical Plautdietsh alphabet. We are indebted to him, however, because he recognized that Plautdietsh utilizes the glottal stop ( 9 , which we have indicated as $\}$ to mark the open transition it creates in certain vowel clusters; e.g., (Frư'ês\} \women<br>, \{bứe\} to buildl.. Like Quiring, he gives full recognition to the shwa.

Peter Fast (1987), of the Wycliffe Bible Translators, has developed a small primer to teach the reading of Plautdietsh. In addition he helped produce a Plautdietsh New Testament. The orthography in Fast's primer points out that Plautdietsh speech contains unreleased consonants; e.g., in words like \{Dreppkje\} la small drop<br>, \{bätkje\} \a little bit<br>and \{Büakkje\} \small book\the consonants $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k})$ are started, but released only with the release of the $(\mathrm{kj})$ of the diminutive suffix $/$-kjel.

As I have already noted, once the phonemes of any language have been established, the second step involves developing a practical orthography. This step usually involves all kinds of political considerations, like the usage of the dominant language of the region, any existing literature in the language, the accessibility of the symbols on the typewriter, etc. To achieve such a practical orthography for Plautdietsh one would need a consultation between the knowledgeable scholars of that language, so that various sensibilities involved can all be given due consideration. While this study does make suggestions on a practical orthography, it purposely focuses on establishing the phonemes of Plautdietsl.

## Phonemes of Plautdietsh: Examples:

a pronounced just like the English \a\ in: \car\ 'Autou,' the German: |Rad\| \wheel\} 'Raut.' or the Spanish: /hablar/ \speak\ 'räde.' Plautdietsh examples: \{Ama\}, \pail IEimer/balde/ 'Wootabêhellta,’ \{Zache\} thhings \Dingel/cosas/ 'Dinja.'

Word medially as second member of a vowel cluster (a) is reduced to a shwa phonetically and as rendered as (ề; e.g., pronounced (ŭtjèshprǒêkê), written: \{ŭtjêshptrơake.
ai written as fel in IPA, pronounced as the English: \cakel 'zeete Nookost,' the German: lebenl \just now 'nŭ jrood,' or the Spanish: /bebe/ Ibaby| 'kjlienêt Kjind.' Plautdietsh examples: \{äwa\} lover\ läberl /encima/ 'nichj unja,' \{Zäwên\} \seven\/siebenl/siete/ '7.'
äa pronounced similar to the English tmarel 'Kobbêl,' |hair 'Hoa.' Plautdietsh examples: \{äa\} \theirl lihresl/de ellos/ '"waut jane ieajne,' \{fäare\} lin front $\backslash$ Ivornel /en frente/ 'daut Jäajêndeel fonn hinje,' \{Däa\} \doorl ITūrl/puerta/ 'Hüsenganksfêshluss.' This combination is heard most distinctly in speech word finally. Word medially one usually hears (aaê which is pronounced similar to the way certain native speakers of English pronounce words ending in (rl, e.g.: \fair\ ffaèe 'wan ên jiedra daut Zienje 'kjrichjt,' |bear\ ‘bäêl 'Boa.' Plautdietsh examples are: pronounced (Wäêkjl, written \{Wäakj\} iweek\ IWochet /semana/ 'zäwên Dōag;' pronounced (fäêchji, written \{läachj\} \low /bajo/ Iniedrichl 'nichj hŭagch;' pronounced (Wäêj), written \{Wäaj\} \ways\ IWegel /caminos/ 'meea aus een Wach;' pronounced (däêjl, written 「däaj\} \considerably \ziemlichl/ /bastante/ 'tsimmlichj,'
au pronounced as English \cow 'Kou,' German lausl \out 'ŭt,' or the Spanish /causa/ \cause\ 'Ŭazŏak.' Plautdietsh examples: \{Aust\} \Branch\|Astl/rama/ 'Deel fomm Boum,' \{Kauste\} lbox| IKastenl/caja/ "ên Bêhellta,' \{blau\} lblue |blaul/azul/ 'ne Kêlleea.'

One can distiguish the various varieties of fal and cal combination through the following listing of similar words: \{wad\} lto bet 'waut wade,' \{wäm\} \whom\ 'woone Perrzoun?' \{Wäd\} \willow branch\ 'ên shmiedja Aust,' \{wäad\} \resisted\'zichj fêteidje,' \{Wäak\} |week\ ‘säwên Dǒag,' \{waut?\}|what? ${ }^{\prime}$ "nê Frǒag,' \{weed!\}|weed! ‘Bêfälsform fonn "weede",' \{Wiead\} \words 'meea aus een Wuat.'
$\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}$ are used more or less as in German and in English, but there are some differences: $\mathbf{k} \sim \mathbf{k j}$ following an (i) or an (e) the $(\mathrm{k})$ is always fronted (palatalized) and pronounced as $\{\mathrm{kj}\}$. Plautdietsh examples: \{dikj\} ไthick\|dick//grueso/ 'nichj denn,' \{bekje\} \to bend over\ Istoopl/agacharse/, 'zichj ferrwoats êrauf bieaje.' But following an (al there is a distinction between $\{\mathrm{k}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{kj}\}$. Plautdietsh examples: $\{\mathrm{Dak}\}$ |roof $1 \mathrm{Dachl} /$ techo/ 'Hǔsdakbêdakjunk,' and \{Dakj\} \blanketl|Deckel/cobija/ 'Badbêdakjunk.' Since the two sounds must be distinguished followiing an (a), they must be considered distinct phonemes and thus letters of the Plautdietsh alphabet.
$\mathbf{n} \sim \tilde{n} \sim \eta$ following (i) or (e) the ( n ) is also fronted (palatalized) and pronounced as Spanish/ĩ// which we transcribe in Plautdietsh as $\{n j\}$. Plautdietsh examples:
\{binje\} \to bind\ |bindenl /amarrar/ 'mettên Shtrank faust mǒake,' zenje \to singel lversengen /quemar/ 'waut drieajêt aunbrenne,' \{zinj!\} \sing! \ lsing!| /cante!/ 'zou's ên Leet zinje.' In Spanish as in Plautdietsh (ñ) must be treated as a separate phoneme. Spanish examples:: /ñapa/ \something added as a bonus 'daut Toojäwsêl,' /niña/ /small girl 'klienêt Mäakjskje.' In Plautdietsh (ñ) also appears after \{u\}: \{unja\} lunderl lunter /debajo/ 'nichj boowa,' For this reason it must be considered phonemic. We write it as $\{n j\}$. In summary we can say that following (i,e) the pronunciation of ( n ) is conditioned by the front vowels. Similarily following. fo,ul we usuually find it pronounce farther back in the mouth as $(\eta)$. But under certain conditions we also find the $\{\mathrm{nj}\}$ also appearing following a $\{\mathrm{u}$ ); we must thus treat all three as full-fledged phonemes. Plautdietsh examples which dinguish the three types are: ( m ) in \{Zinda\} \sinner\'jêmaundt dee doa zindijt,' (ñ̃) in \{zinje\} $\backslash$ to sing $\mid$ 'dee zinjt,' and $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ in \{zunge\} \sang 'haft jêzunge.' We can demonstrate the phonemic distinction between ( $\tilde{n}, \mathrm{n}$ and $\eta$ ) in the word contrast: (unja\} 'nichj boowa,' \{bunt\} $\backslash m u l t i-c o l o r e d \backslash$ 'meea aus eene Kêlleea and \{Unga\} la surname\} 'Fêmieljênoome: "Unger".' We write the velar pronunciation as $\{n g\} . r$ in Scottish English ( $\mathbf{r}$ ) can occur slightly rolled as ( rr ) or flapped once as ( r ). However Plautdietsh does not make the distinction between (ř) (flapped once) and (rri) (trilled) like the Spanish does in /pero/ Xbutl'ooba' and /perro/ $\backslash \mathrm{dog}$ \ 'Hunt.'. By the same token Plautdietsh does not make use of the retroflexed, flapped $\{\mathbb{T}\}$ which English uses. Plautdietsh examples: $\{$ rout $\}$ \red $\mid$ rotl /rojo/ 'nê Kêlleea,' \{ar\} ไher\lihrl/de ella/ 'daut äaje,' \{ferr\} lin front of lvorl/en frente de/ 'nichj hinja,' (We should add here that the written $\{r r\}$ in Plautdietsh does not represent a trilled vowel, but doubled consonants used after short open vowels.) $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{t}$ are pronounced with a slight puff of air as in English and German. However, in English when $|p|$ and $|t|$ follow an $|s\rangle$ they become conditioned and lose the puff of. English examples are: with a puff of air (aspirated): \pill 'Pell,' \till| 'bott;' without the puff of air (unaspirated): \spilll 'féjecte,' \still\ 'noch.' In Spanish these stops always are unaspirated. Plautdietsh examples for (pi: \{Paun\} \pan\|Pfannel /sartén/ 'tou'm broode,' \{Aupêl\} \applel lApfell /manzana/ 'dee Frucht,' \{Shaup\} lcabinet ISchrank/ /armario/ 'wua maun waut oppbêwoat.' For the (tt: \{Tung\} \tongue\ IZungel /lengua/ 'dee Tung emm Mül,' \{Woota\} |water\ /Wasserl /agua/ 'tou'm drinkje,' \{at\} leats lisst/ /come/ 'Jäajênwuat fonn "äte",' c is not a phoneme in its own right. It is used to write the digraph \{ch\}. In the IPA the latter is usually written as $(\chi)$. We are also using it as a convenience when $(\mathrm{k})$ should be doubled following a short vowel.
ch chj The (ch) is pronounced as in the Scottish-English wordlloch\ \a lakel 'See,' or as in the German lich, ach, auch $\backslash I$, alas, also $\{$ ekj, ach, uck\}. Plautdietsh examples: \{Loch\} ไholel ILochl /hueco/ 'waut ütjêholkjadêt.' Following \{a\} the fronted and the backed variety function as full phonemes written as: $\{\mathrm{ch}\}$ and \{chj\}. Plautdietsh examples: \{zacht\}/slowly/ Ilangsoml/despacio/ 'nichj shwind,' and \{zajchjı~zajt\} \says\ |sagt/ /dice/ 'dee Jäagênwuat fonn "zaje",' \{acht\} 'Numma ferr näajên' and \{achjt\} \genuinel 'daut werkjlichje.' Following (il or (e) one finds only \{chj\}. Plautdietsh examples: \{Lichjt\} Nlight\ILichtt/luz/ 'nichj dunkêl,' ên \{Zechjt\} \sight\|Sichtl/vista/ 'kaun
zeene.' Following (ol or (u) one finds only \{ch\}. Plautdietsh examples: \{Koch\} |cook\|Kochl/cocinero/ 'jêmaundt dee kōakt,' \{Bucht\} |bay\|Bucht| /bahia/ 'doa wua daut Eewa deep ennlaundt bichjt.' Word initially (ch) is only used in loanwords and then only rarely. Plautdietsh examples: \{choua~koua\} Ichoirl 'ne Grupp Zenja.' When following (i,el or fo,ul the \{chj\} and \{ch\} respectively are conditioned by the vowels they follow; nevertheless they have to be treated as separate phonemes, because following fal they distinguish different meanings.
$\mathbf{e}$ is pronounced short and open as $(\epsilon)$ as in English lbet 'wade,' the German |Weltt |world| 'dee gauntse Welt,' and in Spanish /ven!/ \come 'komm!' In our orthography the short vowel is always followed by two consonants in writing. It is written as (el. Plautdietsh examples: \{Ent\} \duck\IEntel/pato/ 'Wootafoagêl,' \{Benjề\} /rascal/ IBengell /picaro/ 'ên goashtaja Jung,' \{ferr\} lin front of lvort /en frente de/ 'daut Jäajêndeel fonn "hinja".'
$\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ occurs unaccented. It is an indistinct and undifferentiated vowel. It should be written as a shwa ( $\wp$ ). In word final position we have written it as fel without a diacritic even though it is pronounced as (əl. However word medially, and especially word finally in one syllable words it is transcribed as fêl to indicate that it is an unaccented neutral vowel, e.g.,: \{dê, nê, ên tê\} \the, a (f.), a (m., n.), tol. Plautdietsh exhibits many word intial and word final affixes which all feature the unaccented fêl: \{bê-\}, \{jê\}, \{fề\}, \{-ên\}, \{-êt\}, and \{-êns\}, etc. Plautdietsh examples: \{bêhoule\} \rememberl behalten/ /recordar/ 'emm Zenn houle,' \{jêzeene\} \seen\ Igesehenl/visto/ 'waut maun jêzeene haft,' \{fềroft\} |soldd lverkauft/ /vendido/ 'feeshachat,', \{eenên\} lonel leinerl/uno/ 'een Maun,' \{kjleenêt\} \a little onel ein kleinesl/un pequeño/ 'nichj grout,' \{tweedêns\} \secondly\ Izweitens/ /en segundo lugar/ 'enn tweede Rieaj.' We can thus affirm that when (êl occurs word finally, it is conditioned by that position, but individually in other positions of the word the rêl functions as a full-fledged vowel in the Plautdietsh. Because it is conditioned in word final position we are writing it as (el without any diacritic. Plautdietsh examples: \{een\}, \{enn\}, \{ên\} lonel, linl, la 'eent,' 'benne,' and 'dee onnbêshtemde Artikjêl.' Phonetically the (ê also occurs as the conditioned second member of a vowel cluster. See \{a\}.
ee is pronounced as in German: ISeelel \soul\ 'dee jeistlichje Deel fomm Mensh.' Plautdietsh examples: \{eena\} lonel leiner//uno/ 'ên Mensh,' \{breet\} \broad lbreit//ancho/ 'nichj shmaul,' $\{h e e\}$ ไhel lert/èl/ 'een Maunsmensh.'
ei is pronounced short and fronted with a minimal glide. It can be distinguished both from English hai and from the German leil, which are both pronounced as (ail less fronted and with a much bigger glide. Plautdietsh examples: \{Ei\} legg\ IEil /huevo/ 'waut nê Han lajt,' \{Freid\} \joy\|Freudel /alegria/ 'frou senne,' \{Hei\} ไhay\|Heul/heno/ 'Feefouda.' The vowel combinations \{ee\} and $\{\mathrm{ei}\} \mathrm{can}$ be distinguished in the following word pair: \{mee'st $\}$ do you think? 'gleewst dü?,'and \{meist\} \almostl 'nichj gaunts,'
cea pronounced similar to the way certain speakers of English pronounce words ending in (er) as (a); e.g., \layer\ (lä-a) 'Shichjt,' |weigher\ (wä-a) 'dee Wäaja.' Plautdietsh examples: \{eea\} \sooner\|früherl/más temprano/ 'daut Jäajêndeel fonn "loota",' \{Beea\}/beer/ Bien/cerveza/ 'Drinkjtichj,' \{waneea\} /when/lwannl/cuándo/ 'woune Tiet?' The following word listing distinguihses various vowel clusters: \{äa\}\theirs ‘daut äaje,’ \{eea\} learlier\ ‘tiedja,' \{Eia\}\eggs\ 'waut Heena laje,' \{Ieajistre\} \the day before yesterday<br>(Ieêjistrê) 'Dach ferr Jistre,' \{hiea\} \herel 'opp disse Shtäd.'
$g$ exhibits several allophonic variations. Word initially it is pronounced like (g) in English or German: e.g., |God<br>, IGott| 'Gott;' \grass<br>, |Grassl 'Graus.' In other positions in a word it is usually affricated as $(\gamma)$. In this it is similar to the Spanish word medial $/ \mathrm{g} /(\gamma)$ in /haga/ fhayal \do! $\backslash$ 'dou!,'/pagar/ fpayar) \pay $\backslash$ 'bêtoole.' Plautdietsh examples: \{gaunts\} \completely |ganzl /completamente/ 'nichj haulf,' \{drŏage\} rdrooêץêl |carry\|tragenl /cargar/ 'zou's opp'm Puckêl drŏage,' \{Flag\} (Flaү) \flag \Flagge~Fahnel/bandera/ 'dee Kanaudishe Flag.' Following fronted vowels like (i) it becomes palatalized as $\{\mathrm{g}\}$. Plautdietsh examples: \{Migi\} \mosquito\|Mŭcke! /mosquito/ 'Onnjêtseffa,' \{Pligj\} \shoe tack\ /kleiner Nagell /clavito/ 'kjlienêt Noagêldinjs,' \{wrigjêlt\} |wiggling\/hin und her bewegenl/menearse/ 'zichj han ên häa bêwäaje.' Even though in this position, it is a conditionred variant, it has to be recognized as a full phoneme because after (a) it does distguish words. Plautdietsh examples: \{lag?\} \did you lie down? 'lag jie?' and $\{$ Agi\} \selvage edge of cloth $\backslash$ 'dee onnjêshnädne Kaunt fomm Tseichj,' Note the following comparative list: \{Akj\} \corner\'Winkjêl,' \{Zakj\} \sacks\} 'Biedêls,' \{Zak\} \sack 'Biedêl,' \{zag?\} \did you see? 'zag jie?,' \{zaj!\} \say!\} 'zaj waut!' \{zagch\} \saw 'hee zagch waut,'
i pronounced as short ( t ) as in English: \bit\'ên Besskje,' and the German: IKindl lchild $\backslash$ 'Kjint.' As a short vowel it is always written with two following consonants.. Plautdietsh examples: \{Dinja\} \things\ IDingel/cosas/ 'kjliene Sache,' \{zinge\} \sing\/singenl/cantar/ 'ên Leet zinge,'
ie is written as fil in IPA and pronounced as in German: Isiebenl '7,' |wieder| 'wada;' the English: पheat\ 'Hett;' and the Spanish /diga!/ \say! 'zaj!'. Plautdietsh examples: \{Ies\} \ice\ |Eisl/hielo/ 'jêfroanêt Woota,’ \{hiele\} \cry\} lweinenl /llorar/ 'zou's mett Troone,' \{wie\} |wel lwirl/nosotros/ 'dee ieashte Perrzoun Meeatsool. ' .
iea resembles the pronunciation of English thee haw 'joakle' without the (h). Plautdietsh examples: \{Fiea\} पfire\|Feuerl/fuego/ 'daut brennt,' \{hiea\} \here\} thiert /aqui/ 'opp disse Shtäd,' \{Biea\} \pillow case\|Kissenüberzug| /funda de almoada/ 'Kjessêbêdakjungk.' The vowel combination rieal is pronounced clearly word finally. Word medially it is pronouced similar to the way certain speakers of English articulate words ending in (r) as (a; e.g., |beer\ fbiea) 'Beea,' \here\ (hieal 'hiea.' Plautdietsh examples: pronounced fieêsht), written \{ieasht\} \first lerstl /primero/ 'daut ieashte;' pronounced fflieêjê,
written \{flieaje\} \fly $\backslash$ |fliegenl /volar/ 'enn'ne Lofft flieaje,' The following word series illustrates these phonemic distinctions: \{denn\} \thin\ 'nichj dikj,' \{deen\} \serve\ 'oabeide,' \{dien\} lyours\ 'daut dienje,' \{deit\} \does\ 'waut doune,' \{diea\} lexpensivel 'kost fàl,' \{dieare\} lasts\ 'daut nemmt Tiet,' \{Deiw\} \dew 'wan Graus naut woat, '\{Däa\} \door\ 'emm Hŭs,' \{denkj!\} \think!\’bêdenkje,' \{Dinkj\} \thing\êen Jäjênshtaunt,' \{dankt\} \thanks\ 'ess dankboa,'
$j$ in the IPA is written as fyl and pronounced as English $\backslash \mathrm{y} \backslash$ in : $\backslash y o u \backslash$ 'dŭ,' and the German |j| in |Jahrl lyear\ 'Joa,' and the Spanish /y/ in /yo/ XI 'ekj.' Plautdietsh examples: \{joo\} lyes ljal/si/ 'Bieshtemmungsŭtdruck,' \{fäaje\} \sweep\Ifegenl /barrer/ 'mett'm Basêm fäaje,' \{zaj!\} \speak! \sag!! /diga!/ 'Bêfälsform fonn "zaje",'

0 pronounced short and open as English lu\in \cup\'Kuffêl,' and German lol in |kommst? lare you coming? 'kjemmst?' Plautdietsh examples: \{Oss\} lox\} IOchsl/buey/ 'en jeshnädna Boll,' \{Zolt\} \salt $\mid$ Salz\ /sal/ 'tou'm äte zolte.' This phoneme is found only word initially and word medially. In our writing it is always followed by two consonants.
ou pronounced as in German: |Tonl 'tunel 'dee kaun nichj Toun houle,' $|S o h n|$ |son\ 'zien Zän.' In pronunciation the $\{0\}$ has a slight rul off-glide.Plautdietsh examples: \{ouda\} lor laberl/pero/ 'entwäda ouda,' \{houle\} thold |haltenl /tener/ 'nichj lous loote,' \{Kou\} \cow\ IKuhl /vaca/ 'ên Rindt,'

00 pronounced long similar to English: \old $\backslash$ lalt| 'oult,' |cold $\backslash$ Ikalt| 'kolt', the German: |wohntl \dwells\ 'läwt' and the Spanish: /todo/ leverything\ lallesl 'aulês.' Plautdietsh examples: $\{O o s\}$ lcarrion\/Verfaultes/ /carne podrida/ 'fêfüldêt Fleesh,' \{Koot\} \shack\Hŭttel/choza/ 'jemmalichjêt Hŭskje,' \{shtoo!\} \stand! Isteh!! /paraté!/ 'Bêfälsform fonn "shtoone".' The three $\{0,04,00\}$ can be shown to be minimally different in the following word series: $\{$ Mott $\} \backslash m o t h \backslash$ 'waut Flieajêndêt,' \{Mout\} \couragel 'broow zenne,' and \{Moot\} \measurement 'tê'm mäte,'
oa pronounced as in English: \Noah\ 'Noome ŭt'e Biebêl.' Plautdietsh examples: $\{\mathrm{Oa}\}$ \ear of corn\|Ährel/mazorca/ 'nê Oa mett Kjieana,' \{Boa\} lbear\ |Bär| /oso/ 'willêt Tiea,' \{Koat \} \card \Kartel /naipe/ 'tê'm shpäle.' This vowel combination is heard clearest word finally. Word medially it sounds more like foê), but it is written as \{oa\}. Plautdietsh examples: pronounced (Boêch), written \{Boach\} hill\|Bergl/monte/ 'ên hŭaga Humpêl;' pronounced (shtoêwel, and written \{shtoawe\} \diel Isterbenl /morir/ 'ommkoome;' pronounced /Hoédl, written \{Hoad\} herder 1 Hirtel /pastor/ 'wäa opp Fee opp paust;' pronounced ‘jêfroêrêl, written \{jêfoare\} \frozen\ lgefrorenl /helado/ 'wan Woota Ies woat,'
oua pronounced similar to the German: |Noah| $\mid$ Noah 'Noome ŭt'e Biebêl.' Plautdietsh examples: \{Doua\} |gate\|Tor|/portón/ 'Däa emm Tŭn,'
\{Oua~Ua\} learl IOhrl/oreja/ 'tou'm hieare,' \{Koua\} 'nê Zenjagrupp'. This vowel combination is heard clearest word finally. Word medially it sounds like fouê, but it is written as \{oua\}. This vowel combination raises some suspicions since it exhibits an incomplete pattern.) The various pronunciations of (ol and (u) give rise to a wide variety of dialect variations especially in the pronunciation of specific words.. Plautdietsh examples: $\{\mathrm{Oa} \sim \mathrm{Ooa} \sim \mathrm{Oua} \sim \mathrm{Ua}\} \quad$ lear $\$ IOhr| /oreja/ 'tou'm hieare;' \{moake~mŏake~mouake~mooake\} \make\ lmachenl/hacer/ 'waut toup baustle'; \{muake~mŭake\} \madel lmachtel /hizo/ 'Fêgangênheit fonn "mŏake";' \{Ua~Ŭa\} |watch\|Uhrl/reloj/ 'Klock.'
ōa is hard to describe since none of the other languages used in this study can be used to demonstrate it. Furthermore it doesn't appear in all dialects of Plautdietsh. Its onset is partially similar to the German |öl in |gönnen| \to wish someone well /sin envidia/ 'ekj jenn am daut,' ILöffell \spoon\/cuchara/ 'Läpêl,' but it is prounced farther back in the mouth. Plautdietsh examples: pronounced (Dǒêgl, written \{Dǒag\} \days\TTagel/dias/ 'meea aus een Dach;' pronounced (Hǒêkêl, written \{Hŏake\} \hook\|Hackenl/gancho/ 'tou'm waut opphenge;' pronounced (Zŏêgl, written \{Zŏag\} \saw\|Sägel/serrucho/ 'tou'm aufzŏage.' (Could this be the word medial fouêl?) Word pairs that distinguish roa and oca-at least in my idiolect of Plautdietsh-are: \{jêfroare; \frozen\ 'Ies jêworde,' \{jêfrŏagt\} lasked 'nê Frŏag jêshtalt;' \{kloa\} \clear\ 'nichj muzhrichj,' \{Klōag\} \complaint\ 'äwa waut gromzauje;' \{Boach~Boaj\} \mountain~mountains \'een ouda meea groute Humpêls,' \{Boakj\} lbirch treel 'ên Boum,' \{Bõage\} \sheet of paper\ 'Blaut Papiea;', \{Noash\} \a person's rearl 'Hinjarenj,' \{nōaktichj\} \naked\'oone Kjleeda;' \{shtoakje-fêshtoakje\} \to strengthen\ 'waut shtoakja mŏake,' \{shtŏake\} \to pitch hay 'Hei opploode;' \{moakje\} |notice 'ennwoare,' \{mŏake\} lto makel 'waut toupbaustle;' \{woake\} \to stay awakel 'waka bliewe,' \{Wǒakje\} \works\ 'daut waut maun jêdoone hawt,' \{wŏage\} \to riskl 'waut frōaglichjêt doune.' (Is there any possibility that ( $\mathrm{k} \sim \mathrm{g}$ ) could condition the pronunciation of (ŏal?)
s pronounced as in English and German: Vhouseh-Hausl 'Wouninj.' Plautdietsh examples: \{aus\} \when\alsl 'aus wie jungk weeare,' \{Beskje\} \bitl|Bischenl 'Bätskje.' Word initially the 'sl occurs only in a few loan words and in certain dialects . Plautdietsh examples: loanwords: \{Saskêtun\} ไthe city of 'Staudt,' \{Sieatel\} $\}$ the city of $\backslash$ 'Staudt.' Dialect forms: $\{$ Soll $\} \backslash$ duty or an inch $\backslash$ 'Tsoll,' \{Suk\} \bitch\'Tsuk,' and in my idiolect: \{Sêmorjếs\} lin the morning\ |Morgensl /en la mañana/ 'wan dee Dach aunfangt,'
sh is transcribed as (š) or $\left(\int\right)$ in the IPA and pronounced as in English \shall 'zaul,' and German: Ischonl \already\ \{aul\}. Plautdietsh examples: \{Shŭm\} |foam\|Shauml /espuma/ 'Bloozkjês opp Flissichjkjeit,' \{tushe\} 'to paint |färbenl /pintar/ 'mett Foaw aunpenzle,' \{Aush\} lash| IAschel/ceniza/ 'Äwabliefsèl fomm Fiea,' \{Shpell\} \gamel ISpiell /juego/ 'waut jêshpält woat,' \{Bieshpell\} \example\ |Beispiell /ejemplo/ 'nê Shilderrungk.' $\{$ Bosh \} lbush\ |Busch| /arbusto/ 'Shtruck.'
ts is written as (c) in the IPA and pronounced as the German $|z|$ : |Zank| |quarrel $\mid$ 'Tsank.' Plautdietsh examples: \{tsettre\} ไtremble\ |zitternl/temblar/ 'tekjre,' \{Bottsat\} \ittle fellow lkleiner Jungel /chiquito/ 'kjliena Jung,’ \{hots!\} \jeepers! $/$ /caramba!/ 'wan maun äwarausht ess, zajt maun "hots",'
u pronounced short and open as ful as in the English: \foot\|Fuss| 'Fout,' Icould\} Ikonntel 'kunn;' and German: Imuss| \must\ 'mott.' In our orthography it in always written with two following consonants to mark that it is short. Plautdietsh examples: \{unja\} lunder\/unterl/debajo/ 'nichj boowe,' \{Buck\} lbelly IBauchl/barriga/ 'dee Pants,'
u pronounced long as English $\backslash f o o d \backslash|E s s e n| / c o m i d a / ~\{A ̈ t e\}$, or the German Idul |you\/tu/ \{dŭ\}, or the Spanish /uso/ lusel /Gebrauchl \{Jêbruck\}. In my idiolect of Plautdietsh the ful is rarely used. It occurs most frequently in vowel clusters like \{au, ua, ou\}. Plautdietsh examples: \{fua\} \drove\ 'mett'ên Foatichj jêfoare,' \{Kou\} lcow 'ên Rindt,' \{Ua\}lear 'tê'm hieare,' and \{fuare\} thave driven\ (fuêrề 'mettên Foatichj jêfoare.' This vowel has so far been transcribed as $\{u\}$, but when it is followed by two consonants such a transcription makes it impossible to distinguish it from the short fol. One really needs a separate symbol.
ǔ pronounced as German umlauted ü. (In my wife's idiolect of Plautdietsh this sound is exceedingly rare.) German examples: |Mŭhel leffort\/esfuerzo/ 'Aunshtrenjungk,' lüberl lover\/encima/ 'äwa.' Plautdietsh examples: \{Ŭ1\} lowl\IEulel /bujo/ 'Nachtfoagêl,' \{Tŭn\} \fence\|Zaunl/cerco/ 'zou's ên Goadêtŭn,' $\{B u ̆\}$ lbuilding \Gebäudel /edificio/ 'waut maun jêbūt haft.'
ua Plautdietsh examples: \{Ua-Ŭa\} |watch |Uhr| 'Klock,' \{Bua~Bŭa\} \farmer\ |Bauerl /campesino/ 'Laundwirtshofta,' \{Fua\} \load $\mid L a d u n g /$ /carga/ 'waut maun opp jêloodt haft,' \{zua~zŭa\} |sour |sauer| /agrio/ 'nichj zeet," \{wua~woua\} |where\ lwol /dónde/ 'woun Plauts?' The combination is heard most clearly word finally, word medially it sounds more like fuê). Plautdietsh examples: pronounced (jêbuêrê), written \{jêbuare\} lborn\|geborenl/nació/ 'aus ên Kjint;' pronounced (Wuêt, written \{Wuat\} \word\ /Wortl /palabra/ 'Deel fomm Zauts;' pronounced (Puêtl, written \{Puat\} \port \Hafenl/puerto/ 'wua daut Shepp aunkjemmt;' pronounced 〔Buêtl, written \{Buat\} \border\} |Randl/bordo/ 'dee Bŭtakaunt,'
ūa Plautdietsh examples: \{Ŭa\} |watch |Uhrl 'Klock,' \{zŭa~zua\} \sour\ Isauer /agrio/ 'nichj zeet,' \{Bŭa~Bua\} \farmer\|Bauer| /argricultor/ 'Laundwirtshofta,' \{Kŭa\} \cure\ Imedizinishe Bêhandlungk,' \{lŭa!\} lwait! |warte!l /espere!/ 'wacht êmool!,' \{bǔare\} fbŭêrề 'Laundt Oabeit doune,' \{bêdǔare\} (bêdǔêrêl 'Mettleet habe.' (As said under/ ül, This sound combination does not appear in my wife's idiolect.) Word pairs which distinguish (ua~ǔa) in my idiolect: \{Ua~Oua\} lear\ 'tê'm hieare,' \{Ŭa\} |watch,clock\ 'nê Klock;' \{Buat \}ledgel 'Raundt,' \{Bǔak\} \book\ 'tê'm läze;' \{Puat\} \gatel 'Doua,' \{pŭakse\} \to pokel 'aunshtōakre;' \{fuat\}\away from\
'wachj fonn,' \{Füag; la carpenter's jointl 'Holtoabeida ziene Akjêfêbinjungk,' \{Fua\} \load 'waut maun oppjêloodt haft,' \{Hua\} \whorel 'Tselj,' \{hŭagch\} |high 'nichj läachj;' \{Kuarn\} la grain\ 'zou's Weit,' \{kŭare\} \to be ill chronically\ lang krank zenne;' \{Luabäablaut\} lbay leaf 'Jêwerts;' \{lŭa!\} |wait!\ 'Wacht!;' \{Shtua~Shtoua\} \store\ 'Laufkje;' \{shtŭa\}|stern, taciturn\ 'shtiew, iearnst emm Bênäme.' The vowel cluster occurs freely, not only following ( $\mathrm{k} \sim \mathrm{g} \sim \mathrm{ch}$ ). As already said earlier in connection with (ol, there are numerous and changing variations in pronunciation in regard to (ol and ful. In speech of some Plautdietsh speakers one seldom or never hears a distinction. In the speech of others fual and (ŭa) can be distinguished in the word pair: \{Bua\} \housebuilder\ 'dee butên Hŭs' and (Bŭal \farmer\ 'dee deit büare.' In my wife's dialect the distinction is never heard. In speakers who do make the distinction, it can be heard most clearly word finally, word medially it appears as (üè̀ .. Plautdietsh examples: pronounced (Büêkl, written \{Büak\} lbook \Buchl/libro/ 'tou'm läze;' pronounced (Üêkêl, written \{Ŭake\} /eaves/ ITraufel /socarrén/ 'wua Jäwêl ên Bän toupkoomme;' pronounced (jênūêch), written \{jênŭach\} lenough lgenugl/bastante/ 'daut rieakjt tou;' pronounced (Plŭêch), written \{Plŭach\} \plow\ \Pflugl/arado/ 'wuamett eena plicajchjt.' The following word list places many of these vowels and vowel cluster in contrasting positions: \{Oat\} \a kind, variety 'nê Zort,' \{Uat\} la placel 'ên Plauts.' \{ŭt\} lout of 'êrŭt,' \{Ŭak, Ũake\} leves 'wua Bän ên Jäwêl toupkoome,' \{zŏage\} \to saw 'Holt shniede,' \{Dŏag\} \daysl 'meea aus een Dach,' $\{$ düage $\}$ lis worth something 'waut wieat zenne,'
$\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ do not appear in Plautdietsh, except in certain loanwords.
w pronounced (v) as in English: \very\ Isehrl 'zeea,' \have\ hhabenl 'habe;' the German: lwasl lwhat? 'nê Frŏag,' and in the Spanish: /ven!/ \come! \ lkomm!| 'komm!!.' Plautdietsh examples: \{wie\} \wel lwirl/nosotros/ 'ieashte Perrzoun Meeatsool,' \{Dŭw\} \dovel |Taubel 'Fǒagêl,' \{boowe\} lup abovel lobenl /encima/ 'nichj unje.' Whenever (w) is followed by voiceless consonants if is assimmilated and pronounced ffl for example: $\{$ läw $+t\}$ becomes (läwft); however, we suggest that such words be written \{läwt\} the lives\ 'hee ess aum Läwe" in order to preserve the visibility of the root.
z in German is written (s) as in |Salz| \salt' 'Zolt,' 'Dosel Ibox| 'Dooz.' Plautdietsh examples: \{zaje\} \say\|sagenl/decir/ 'waut ütshpräakje,' \{Zodda\} \drizzle\} |regneriscll/ /llovizna/ 'zacht räajne,' \{Kozze\} \goats\ ZZiegenl /chivos/ 'Meeatsool fonn "Kozz",' \{Booz\} hhurry\ lsich sputenl /apurrarse/ 'zichj shpoude.'
zh written (ž) in IPA and pronounced as English: \azure\ 'himmellblau,’ \leisure\} 'nusht tê doune.' One never finds /zh/ word initially. Plautdietsh examples: \{buzhrichj\} \tousled\Izerzaustes Haar /despeinado/ 'dee Hoa gaunts fêtsoddat, ' \{rüzhd\} lbuzzing noisel lrauschenl /zumbar/ 'änlichj aus bromme,' \{Moazh\} \anus\|Aftermündung|/ano/ 'Ütgangk emm Hinjarenj.'

Phonemes that distinguish the meanings of words-two kinds of proof: here is a longer series of words either minimally different or in similar environments that illustrate many of the phonemic distinctions which this study has established: \{Boaj\} Imountains 'meea aus een Boach,' \{Dŏag\}\days\ 'meea aus een Dach,' \{dŭage\} \worth something\ 'waut wieat zenne,' \{Dieachj\} \dough 'tou'm Broot bake,' \{bieaje\} \to bend\ 'krumm mŏake,' \{bäaje\} \to bathe a wound\'emm heetênWoota houle,' \{Bŏage\} la sheet of paper 'een Blaut Papiea,' \{bŭage\} \bent\} 'krumm jêmŏakt,' \{bŭ‘ê\} \to build\ 'Hüs bŭ'e,' \{Bŭ'a\} \builder\ 'dee bŭt,' \{Bua\} \farmer Bauer /campesino/ 'Laundtwirtshafta,' \{Biea\} \pillow casel 'Kjessêbêdakjungk,' \{Beea\} Vbeer 'tou'm drinjkje,' \{Bäa\}\fruit ‘Frucht,' \{Däa\} \door 'emm Hūs,' \{doa\}there\ 'dort,' \{Doua\} \gate\ ‘emm Tŭn,' \{Dǔa\} lin the long run\ 'daut hellt fäa,' \{dŭare\} lit lasts\ ‘äa houle,' \{dieare\} lit takes time 'wou lang,' \{diea\} lexpensivel 'daut kost!,' \{kŭare\} to be sickly\ 'lang krank zenne,' \{Kūa\} I medical treatment\ 'medditsienishe Bêhaundlungk,' \{Kooa~Chooa\} \choirl 'Zenja,’ \{Koa;lcar\ ‘Foatichj tê'm foare,’ \{foa!\} \drive! 'loos foare,' \{Fua\} \load\ 'Oopjêloodnêt,' \{fäa\} \to do something\ ‘äa habe,' \{Fiea\} \firel 'daut brennt,' \{fieare\} \to celebratel 'ên Fast mŏake,' \{fuare\} \drove\} 'zennt jêfoare,' \{lŭare\} to wait 'wachte,' \{leeare\} \to teach\ 'unjarechte,' \{Läajês\} \lies ‘jêlŏagnêt,' \{looj\} \istless\ 'krauftloos,' \{Lŭag\} \bleach solution 'tê'm blieakje,' \{lŭach\} \lied\ 'haft jêlŏage,' \{Lŏag\} \condition\ 'Toustaundt,' \{läajchj\} \low 'nichj hŭagch,' \{Läajês\} Vies 'jêlŏagnêt,' \{Plŭach\} \plow\ 'tê'm plieaje,' \{Plŏag; |plaguel 'Shwierichjkjeite,' \{Plieaj\} plows 'tê'm plieaje,'

## Other Observations on Writing Plautdietsh.

There are important details about writing Plautdietsh even beyond the different letters used in the alphabet.

Why not use (tji) when writing words like \{etj~ekj\}? But before we go into them there is one alphabet matter that warrants analysis: why not use tj when writing words like \{etj~ekj\}? One of the greatest bones of contention in developing a practical orthography has been the issue of the use of (tj or kj ) in writing words like $\{\mathrm{etj} \sim \mathrm{ekj}\}$ the first person singular pronounl. From the phoneticians point of view, the palatal $\{\mathrm{tj}\}$ and the palatal $\{\mathrm{kj}\}$ can over lap in their points of articulation. So phonetics alone cannot resolve this issue for us. In cases such as this, linguists call upon what has been called pattern pressure, i.e., how do similar sounds pattern in the language operate? In Plautdietsh there is excellent help here. We have a velar phoneme \{ch\} and we have a palatal counterpart $\{$ chj $\}$. Again we have another velar phoneme $\{g\}$ with a palatal counterpart $\{\mathrm{gj}\}$. On the basis of this pattern, we can say that we should consider that velar $\{\mathrm{k}\}$ and palatal $\{\mathrm{kj}\}$ are also part of the same pattern. But why no $\{\mathrm{tj}\}$ ? None of the other non-nasal alveolar phonemes exhibit a palatal counterpart--there is no (sj), no (ly) and no (rj). Thus we conclude the pattern of this language strongly suggests $\{\mathrm{kj}\}$. Furthermore $\{\mathrm{kj}\}$ preserves the relationship that this sound had with that of older Germanic language forms.

Dialect differences. There are dialect differences between people from the Old Colony in Russia, also called Chortitza and those from the Molotchna colony,
also called the New Colony. My Uncle Cornie used to say that one could immediately ascertain from which colony a given person came because the people from the Old Colony always hung and ( n ) on every word. His example for it was: \{Kluckên sheetên eenên groutên Klompên\}. The Molotchna people, in contrast, said: \{Klucke shiete eenên groutên Klompe\}'clucks always shit a big pile,'. There are many more differences between these two dialects, for example, \{jêwast~ jêwäze\} \were\ 'dee Fêgangênheit fonn "zenne",' \{jênau jêneiw, blau~bleiw\} lexact-bluel where fau-eiwl contrast with each other, \{ekj zie~ekj zenn\} $\backslash \mathrm{am} \backslash$ and many more.

There are also dialect differences between individual persons, as I havealready mentioned for my wife and myself. Now new dialect differences are arising because Plautdietsh is spoken in countries whose national languages are distinct. As loanwords are borrowed from these languages, new differences develop. For example, the influence of Russian, which in the past was very great, is now going to diminish with the almost complete exodus to Germany of Russian Mennonites. However, the influence of Spanish is going to increase greatly because we now have somewhere near 100,000 Mennonites resident in Spanish-speaking countries.

Long compound words. High German can produce many long compound words. for example: |Haustürshlüssellochrand| |the edge of key hole in the housedoorl 'dee Raundt fonn däm Shlätêlloch enn'ne Hŭsdäa.' This can also be done in Plautdietsh: \{Hüsdäashlätêllochraundt\}. One can almost make compound words without end.

Open and closed transitions. Certain affixes do not make a closed transition between the affixes and the word-root to which they are attached, for example, in words like: $\{b \hat{e}+$ kjeepe, fê + tale $\}$ etc., one slides easily from the prefix to the word-root. But certain combinations don't do this, especially when the root-word ends or begins with a vowel: $\{b \hat{e}+$ endje > bếendje $\} \backslash t o$ endl, $\{\mathfrak{f e}+$ endre > fê‘endre\} \to change\and \{Frū+-ês\} which is pronounced \{Frū̀ês\} \women\. Something similar happens with the suffix $(-a)$ which indicates the profession of a given person, for example: \{zŭ- $\}$ ไto suck $\backslash$ 'waut lutshe’ + (a) produces $\{z u$ ü'a $\}$ lsucker\ 'jêmaundt dee doa zutt.' Should one write only \{zūa\}one would not be able to distinguish between the words \{zŭa\} \sourl 'nichij zeet,' ên \{zü'a\}\sucker\} 'jêmaundt dee doa zŭt'. For this reason we are indicating open transition with r'). (One could easily use a different symbol and write: zü•a). Often this open tranition is marked by a glottal stop. This fact has already been noted by J. W. Goerzen (1972).

There is a similar opening of the transition in certain vowel clusters, for example: in the clusters \{au~oa~ua~üa~ua $\}$ etc., one finds a smooth transition between the vowels juxtaposed, however, in clusters like: $\{\mathrm{ie}+\mathrm{a}\}$ in $\{$ Fiea $\}$ \fire 'daut brennt,' and \{Tiea\} lwild animal 'ên Willt,' the cluster: \{ee+a\} in \{zeea\} lvery\ 'zeea shwoa,' and \{Beea\} \beer\'Drinkjtichj,' and the cluster \{ou+a\} in \{Doua\} \gate\ ‘daut Doua emm Tŭn,' \{Oua\} lear 'tê'm hieare’ the transition is more open; but in these cases we have never observed the development of a glottal stop. On the basis of this, we write them without marking the transition.

Voiced consonants become voiceless. Certain verb stems which end in a voiced consonant ( $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{z}$, w) become voiceless when they precede $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}$, ch, chj., s, f . Examples: \{ekj toub, dü toubst $\sim$ toubpst, hee toubt $\sim$ toubpt $\}$ II. you, he rave-si; \{ekj bäd, dü bädst-bädtst, hee bädt bädt $\} \backslash$, you, he praysl; \{ekj zöag, dü zöagst~zöagchst, hee zöagt~zöagcht\} \I, you, he sawsł; \{ekj zaj, dï zajst~zajchjjst, hee zajt-zajchjt\} $\backslash I$, you, he says\; \{ekj tozz, dü tozzst-tozst, hee tozzt~tozst \} \I, you, he drags’; \{ekj jleew, dü jleewst~jleefst-jleewfst, hee jleewt~jleeft -jleewft; $\backslash I$, you, he believesl. In all these cases we will continue to write the consonant as voiced even though it usually will be assimilated to voicelessness; or in some cases we actually get both, a voiced and a transitional epenthetic voiceless consonant before the voiceless affix. Examples: $\{j \operatorname{leew}+\mathrm{t}\}$ is often pronouced \{jleewft believes\, and \{zöag +st$\}$ is often pronounced (zöagchst) yyou saw.

Certain words whose roots end in voiced consonants become voiceless word finally: for example: \{Aunfangk\}|beginningไand \{Rêjierungk\}\governmentl. Here we write both the voiced and the voiceless consonants because we want to preserve the visibility of the root form. This same thing happens when words are compounded: $\{$ Bäd + Shtund $>$ Bäd(t)stund $\}$ \prayer meeting $\backslash$ and $\{$ Bad + Shtäd $>\operatorname{Bad}(t)$ städ \}\bedsteadl. However, up to this point, our observation has given us no clear pattern under which conditions this assimilation does or does not happen. For this reason we have not been able to a establish a general rule. Can someone help?

Only partially articulated consonants. Peter Fast, who was associated with the translation of the Plautdietsh New Testament, has already noted that certain consonants are begun but not fully articulated. His example involves the suffix \{kje $\}$ the diminutive, which when it is added to stems that end in stops like: $\mathbf{f}, \mathrm{d}$, g. p, t, k), the last consonant of the word-root is started but not fully articulated or released. Examples: $\{\operatorname{Pogg}+\mathrm{kje}>$ Pogkje $\backslash$ \small frogl. The pronunciation begins with $(\mathrm{g})$ but is never fully pronounced. One often hears only the release of the $f-$ kj). Other examples would be: \{Bätkje, Badkje, Rebbkje, Klockkje\} la little bit, small bed, little rib, tiny clock respectively, and many more. I believe this phenomenon is related to word final voiced consonants becoming voiceless, but so far it has not been possible to determine the precise pattern. That this is not a new development we can demonstrate with Mennonite surnames which end in -dt; for example: Niefeldt, Braundt, Maundtla, etc.

A similar phenomenon one notices in verbs whose word-roots end in (b,d,g). When the past-tense suffix $\{-\mathrm{de}\}$ is added, for example: $\{$ meed + de $>$ meedde $\}$ lto rentl, \{bäd + de >bädde\} \to prayไ, \{rad + de > radde\} \to talk\ etc. In these examples we do not get two fully articulated consonants, only the final consonant of the word-root seems somewhat lengthened.

Nasal consonants. Nasal Consonants often develop a transitional homoorganic epenthetic stop before a following stop consonant. Words ending in im , $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{nj}, \mathrm{ng}$ often develop a transitional homo-organic stop consonant before a following stop; examples: (hee kjemm(p)t the comesl, (daut Jung(k)kjel \that small boyl, (finje $+\mathrm{t}>$ finj(kj)t| finds), etc. We have not written such transitional
consonants as a rule. But in the case of the word \{shwame\} \to swim\ one does develop a voiceless transitional consonant. Example, word finally: \{ekj shwomp, dü shwompst. hee shwomp $\} \backslash \mathrm{I}$, you, he swaml. Here it seems necessary to write the consonant.

Certain words need to have their accent marked. In certain words the accent should be marked (laccentl, /accento/) by means of (I because it is essential to get the intended meaning. For example, there is a difference between the words \{áwazeene\} \to look at the wholel 'daut gauntse bêzeene’ with the accent on the first syllable and \{äwazeéne\} \to supervisel 'waut nichj moakje,' with the accent on the second part of the verb. Other examples are:\{wádahoole\} to fetch again 'noch eemool hoole' and \{wadahoóle\} $\backslash$ to review 'daut zelwje noch eemool doune,' \{únjashtoone\} lto stand underneath 'unja waut shtoone' and \{unjashtóne\} 'to investigatel'waut noo forshe,' \{únjahoule\} \to hold under) 'unja irrjênt waut houle' and \{unjahoúle\} \to conversel 'mett jeemaundt nobre.'

Ellipses. Ellipsis means that certain parts of the speech are left out in a given word. Ellipsis is marked by an ('). In this regard Plautdietsh is similar to German which contracts certain words that are contracted when the parts are joined: e.g.. $\mid$ zuı + dem $|>|$ zuml, $\{$ tou + däm $\}>\{$ tou'm $\} \sim\{$ té'm $\} \backslash$ to thel; lin + dem $\gg$ limml lin thel, $\{\mathrm{enn}\}+\{$ däm $\}>\{\mathrm{emm}\}$ lin thel. Other similar contractions are: $\{$ bie'm $\}$ lby thel. $\{$ derchj' m$\} \backslash$ through thel, $\{$ noo'm\} $\backslash$ to thel, $\{$ mett'm $\}$ |with thel. etc. Some ellipses of this kind add an (r $\sim$ rê) as sort of a transition: e.g. \{äw'rêm\} lover thel, \{hinj'rêm; lbehind thel, \{unj'rêm\} ไunder thel,\{ boow'rêm\} labove thel, etc. \{Jäajên + däm $\}$ becomes \{jäajn'êm\}lagainst thel.

A similar kind of ellipsis occurs with \{dee\}'demonstrative'. Plautdietsh examples: $\{$ bie + dee $\}>\{$ bie'de $\}$ lby thel, $\{$ noo + dee $\}>\{$ noo'de $\}$ lo thel, and \{tou + dee $\}>\{$ tou'de\} $\backslash$ for thel. Similar ellipses: \{äw're\} lover thel, \{unj're\} lunder thel, \{hinj're\} lbehind thel, \{bow're\}\above thel; \{enn'ne\} lin thel, \{omm'ne\} ไaround thel, \{opp'ne\} lon thel, \{jajaj'ne\} lagainst thel; and \{aus'e\} lwhen thel, \{derchj'e\} \through thel, \{omm'e\} labout thel, \{bott'e\} \until thel, \{mett'e\} \with thel and \{fonn'ne or fonn'e\} \{from thel.

The pattern which is equivalent to German |dasl 'neuter article' isn't strongly developed in Plautdietsh: lin + das > insl. Similar to: laufs, fürs, durchs > \{enn'êt \} lin it \, (opp'êt\} \on itl, \{ferr'êt\} \before itl, \{derchj'êt\} \through it<br>, \{jäajn'êt\} lagainst itl. etc.

Something similar happens with the preposition \{aus\}: e.g., \{zou + aus > zou's\} \just asl\}; and with \{hee\};:in \{jinkj + hee > jinkj'a\} \did he go??\}.

A different kind of ellipsis can be seen with certain verbs: \{kjenne, kunne, meene. welle, habe, wudde\} Notice the second person singular::\{kau'st, mee'st, we'st, ha'st) \can you, do you think, do you want to, have youl. Or the second person plural: \{kje'je, ku'je, ha'je\} \can you, could you, have youl. Also the first person plural: \{kje'we, ha'we\} \can we, have wel.

There is a third kind of ellipses in which ( n ) is ellided: \{eenmool > ee'mool~ê'mool\} loncel, \{Aunfangk > Au'fangk\} lbeginningl, \{aunjênäm > au'jênäm\} \comfortable<br>, \{aunrechjte > au'rechjte\} \to precipitatel. Similar are: \{au(n)shiere\} \touch. \{au(n)zeene\} \look ath, \{au(n)shriewe\} \write down<br>,
$\{\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{nn})$ loote $\}$ \get involved $\backslash\{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{nn})$ zate $\}$ \put in placel, $\{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{nn})$ shriewe $\}$ |write in, enter<br>, \{e(nn)weitê\} ไconsecratel, $\{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{nn})$ woare $\}$ lbecome aware ofl, and many more. Other similar Plautdietsh examples are: \{ê'naun\} lup againstl, \{ê'naunda\} lone anotherl, \{ê'nemn\} lintol..

There also are individual words the exhibit ellipsis or even ellipses:: \{unja + eenaunda $>$ unj'rênaunda\} lamong each otherl. Similar :constructions occur with: \{bowa\} loverl, \{äwa\} lacross\ and \{jäajên\} \againstl.

Similar also are elisions of $\{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{g}\}$ in specific words: $\{$ Fêrheea $>$ Fêheea $\}$ Ilegal hearing 'zou's emm Jêrecht.' Similar too are: $\{\mathrm{oa}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{m}\}$ poor 'dee ess shlachjt auf' or \arin 'ên Kjarpdeel,', \{au(1)s\} \when\ 'Binjwuat,' \{eena(t)lei\} \all of one kind\'eene Zort,' \{Dröa(g)benja\} \suspenders\'tou'm Bekjse opphoule.'

## Notes

${ }^{1}$ A version of this paper has been published in Plautdietsh in the appendix to: Onze ieashte Missjounsreiz
${ }^{2}$ For works relating to this study see the following selected bibliography:
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